



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

			_ 45			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		* FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/674,710	0	1/29/2000	Gabriel Ilan	P-1653-US	3580	
75	90	06/25/2002				
Heidi M Brun				EXAMINER		
Eitan Pearl Latzer & Cohen Zedek One Crystal Park Suite 210 2011 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA 22202-3709				BEAULIEU	BEAULIEU, YONEL	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3661 DATE MAILED: 06/25/2002						

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/674,710	ILAN ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yonel Beaulieu	3661	//
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 May 2002 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	evoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of b)</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> <li>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th ian SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a)  they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: 30.			
Claim(s) rejected: 8-19 and 21-33.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exar	niner.
9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u>14</u> .	7
10. Other:		THE BEAU	IEU AINER
		WHE BELD	Ze.



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is still believed the issues raised have been addressed with regard to the combination of record.